1	
2	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California
3	GAIL M. HEPPELL, State Bar No. 84134 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4	MARA FAUST, State Bar No. 111729 Deputy Attorney General
5	California Department of Justice 1300 I Street, Suite 125
6	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94244-2550
7	Telephone: (916) 324-5358 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE
10	PHYSICAL THERAPY BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No.
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. DAVID A. HARTWIG
14	P.O. Box 464 Mi-Wuk Village, CA 95346 A C C U S A T I O N
15	Physical Therapy License No. PT-22617,
16	Thysical Therapy License No. 1 1-22017,
	Respondent
17	Respondent.
17 18	Respondent.
	Respondent. Complainant alleges:
18	<u> </u>
18 19	Complainant alleges:
18 19 20	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIES</u>
18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Steven K. Hartzell ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in his
18 19 20 21 22	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Steven K. Hartzell ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Physical Therapy Board of California, Department
18 19 20 21 22 23	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Steven K. Hartzell ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Physical Therapy Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Steven K. Hartzell ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Physical Therapy Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about October 30, 1997, the Physical Therapy Board issued Physical

1	
2	<u>JURISDICTION</u>
3	3. This Accusation is brought before the Physical Therapy Board ("Board"),
4	under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code ("Code").
5	4. Section 2262 of the Code states:
6	Altering or modifying the medical record of any person, with fraudulent intent, or creating any false medical record, with fraudulent intent, constitutes unprofessional conduct.
7	
8	In addition to any other disciplinary action, the Division of Medical Quality or the California Board of Podiatric Medicine may impose a civil penalty of five hundred dollars (\$500) for a violation of this section.
10	5. Section 2660 of the Code states:
11	The board may, after the conduct of appropriate proceedings by the examining committee under the Administrative Procedure Act, suspend for not more
12	than 12 months, or revoke, or impose probationary conditions upon, or issue subject to terms and conditions any license, certificate, or approval issued under this chapter for any of the
13	following causes:
14	(a) Advertising in violation of section 17500 of the Business and Professions Code.
15	(b) Fraud in the procurement of any license under this chapter.
16	(c) Procuring or aiding or offering to procure or aid in criminal abortion.
17 18	(d) Conviction of a crime which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physical therapist. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of such conviction.
19	(e) Impersonating or acting as a proxy for an applicant in any
20	examination given under this chapter.
21	(f) Habitual intemperance.
22	(g) Addiction to the excessive use of any habit-forming drug.
23	(h) Gross negligence in his or her practice as a physical therapist.
24	(i) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of the State Medical Practice Act, or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or
25	indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violating of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the State Medical Practice Act.
26	Continuo 2001 E afaba Cada atata in malamant mant that
~~	6. Section 2661.5 of the Code states in relevant part that: In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the
	board, the board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licensee found guilty

1	
2	of unprofessional conduct to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case.
3	7. Section 725 of the Code states in relevant part that:
4	Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or
5	treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist,
6	podiatrist, psychologist, physical therapist, chiropractor, or optometrist.
7	8. Section 810 of the Code states:
89	(a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with his or her professional activities:
10	(1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance.
11	(2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present
12	or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any false or fraudulent claim.
13	• • • •
14 15	9. Section 2620 of the Code states that:
16	Physical therapy means the art and science of physical or corrective rehabilitation or of physical or corrective treatment of any bodily or mental condition of any
17	person by the use of the physical, chemical, and other properties of heat, light, water, electricity, sound, massage, and active, passive, and resistive exercise, and shall include physical therapy evaluation, treatment planning, instruction and consultative services. The
18 19	use of roentgen rays and radioactive materials, for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes, and the use of electricity for surgical purposes, including cauterization, are not authorized under the term Aphysical therapy@ as used in this chapter, and a license issued pursuant to this
20	chapter does not authorize the diagnosis of disease.
21	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
22	(Excessive Prescribing of Physical Therapy Treatment) [Bus & Prof Code '' 725, 2660(h)]
23	
24	10. Respondent Hartwig is subject to disciplinary action under sections 725 and
25	2660 of the Code for excessive prescribing of physical therapy treatment and gross negligence based
26	on the following facts:
~~	Patient C.G.K. (1) On Morah 2, 1008, patient C.G.K. was referred to Kinestians Sports
	(1) On March 2, 1998, patient C.G.K. was referred to Kinections Sports

1			
2	Medicine and Physics	al Ther	apy, Inc., for physical therapy treatment following a motor vehicle
3	accident.		
4		(2)	C.G.K. was first seen by respondent Kiefer, PT, from March 2, 1998
5	through June 26, 1998	. Patie	nt C.G.K. was treated for 23 visits from respondent Kiefer. During this
6	period, respondent Ki	efer did	no re-evaluations nor did respondent address the patient=s complaint
7	of increased pain in A	pril 19	98 through June 1998.
8		(3)	On June 26, 1998, patient C.G.K. was treated by respondent Hartwig,
9	PT.		
10		(4)	On July 1, 1998, physical therapy care of C.G.K. was assumed by
11	respondent Cedros, a	physic	al therapy assistant, allegedly under the supervision of respondent
12	Hartwig. Cedros treat	ed this	patient for 42 visits from July 1 1998 through March 24, 1999. In this
13	period, there are no re-	evaluat	ions of patient C.G.K. and there are no consultations recorded between
14	PT Hartwig and PTA	Cedros	•
15	11.	Respon	ndent Hartwig=s treatment of C.G.K. constitutes excessive prescribing
16	of physical therapy ar	nd gross	s negligence in that he treated the patient without medical diagnosis,
17	failed to appropriately	comm	unicate with the referring physician, and failed to perform appropriate
18	re-evaluation of the p		CECOND CALIGE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	rn.	-	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonest Billing) (Second Second Se
20	(Bu	is. & Pi	rof. Code ' 810(a)(1) & (2), 2262, 2660(h) & (l)]
21	12.	Comp	ainant realleges paragraph 10 above as if fully set forth at this point.
22	13.	Respo	ndent Kiefer is subject to disciplinary action under sections 810(a)(1)
23	and (2), 2262, and 266	50(h) an	d (l) of the Code for excessive prescribing of physical therapy services
24	based on the followin	g facts:	
25		(1)	Patient C.G.K. was not offered the fee schedule at the time of service,
26	nor any available opti	ons for	payment.
~~		(2)	Since no properly supervised physical therapy treatments were

1	
2	provided to patient C.G.K. after June 29, 1998, the patient was overbilled \$1,196.23 (for 23 visits)
3	and the patient was double billed for the office visit of March 2, 1998.
4	14. Respondent Hartwig=s treatment of C.G.K. constitutes dishonest billing and
5	gross negligence in that he treated the patient without medical diagnosis, failed to perform
6	appropriate re-evaluation of the patient, failed to provide adequate and appropriate supervision of the
7	physical therapy assistant for nine months, and failed to refer the patient to another provider when
8	progress was not being made.
9	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Excessive Prescribing of Physical Therapy Treatments)
10	[Bus. & Prof. Code ' 725 and 2660(k)]
11	15. Complainant realleges paragraph10 above as if fully set forth at this point.
12	(1) Respondent Hartwig employed physical therapy assistants and aides in
13	the treatment of patient C.G.K. without adequate supervision in violation of section 2660(k), in that
14	respondent Kiefer aided and abetted a person to both engage in the unlawful treatment of physical
15	therapy and to engage in excessive prescribing of physical therapy.
16	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Gross Negligence)
17	[Bus. & Prof. Code ' 2660(h)]
18	16. Complainant realleges paragraph 10 above as if fully set forth at this point.
19	17. Respondent failed to produce and maintain adequate documentation of the
20	physical therapy treatments provided to C.G.K. in violation of section 2660 of the Code which
21	constitutes gross negligence.
22	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Excessive Prescribing of Physical TherapyTreatment)
23	[Bus. & Prof. Code ' 725, 2660(h)]
24	18. Respondent Hartwig is subject to disciplinary action under sections 725 and
25	2660 of the Code for excessive prescribing of physical therapy treatment and gross negligence based
26	on the following facts:
~~	Patient K.A.

1	
2	(1) From May 2, 2000 through January 3, 2001, patient K.A. was seen at
3	Kinections Sports Medicine and Physical Therapy, Inc., for physical therapy treatment.
4	(2) K.A. was first seen by respondent Cedros, PTA, and treated by him for
5	approximately 40 visits. During this period, respondent Hartwig, PT, wrote one visit note and co-
6	signed 20 notes by PTA Cedros.
7	(3) There are no PT/PTA conferences, and there is no measurable
8	objective data to show patient=s progress or lack of progress.
9	19. Respondent Hartwig=s treatment of K.A. constitutes excessive prescribing of
10	physical therapy and gross negligence in that he failed to perform appropriate re-evaluation of the
11	patient or measure the patient=s progress.
12	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonest Billing) [Bart & Bart Call at \$10(a)(1) & (2) 22(2) 2((0)(1) & (1))
13	[Bus. & Prof. Code ' 810(a)(1) & (2), 2262, 2660(h) & (1)]
14	20. Complainant realleges paragraph 18 above as if fully set forth at this point.
15	21. Respondent Hartwig is subject to disciplinary action under sections 810(a)(1)
16	and (2), 2262, and 2660(h) and (l) based on the following facts:
17	(1) Since no properly supervised physical therapy treatments were
18	provided to patient K.A. for 20 visits, the patient and/or PERS care/Blue Cross was overbilled
19	\$1,750.00.
20	22. Respondent Hartwig=s treatment of K.A. constitutes dishonest billing and
21	gross negligence in that he treated the patient without medical diagnosis, failed to perform
22	appropriate re-evaluation of the patient, failed to provide adequate and appropriate supervision of the
23	physical therapy assistant for nine months, and failed to refer the patient to another provider when
24	progress was not being made.
25	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Excessive Prescribing of Physical Therapy Treatments)
26	[Bus. & Prof. Code '' 725 and 2660(k)]
~~	23. Complainant realleges paragraph 18 above as if fully set forth at this point.

1	
2	(1) Respondent Hartwig employed physical therapy assistants and aides in
3	the treatment of patient K.A. without adequate supervision in violation of section 2660(k), in that
4	respondent Kiefer aided and abetted a person to both engage in the unlawful treatment of physical
5	therapy and to engage in excessive prescribing of physical therapy.
6	EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Excessive Prescribing of Physical Therapy Treatments)
7	[Bus. & Prof. Code ' 2660(h)]
8	24. Complainant realleges paragraph 18 above as if fully set forth at this point.
9	25. Respondent failed to produce and maintain adequate documentation of the
10	physical therapy treatments provided to patient K.A. in violation of section 2660 of the Code which
11	constitutes gross negligence.
12	///
13	NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Excessive Prescribing of Physical Therapy Treatments)
14	[Bus. & Prof. Code '' 725 and 2660(h)]
15	26. Respondent Hartwig is subject to disciplinary action under sections 725 and
16	2660 of the Code for excessive prescribing of physical therapy treatment and gross negligence based
17	on the following facts:
18	Patient L.L.
19	(1) From approximately November 1, 2000 through January 12, 2001,
20	patient L.L. treated at Kinections Sports Medicine and Physical Therapy, Inc., for physical therapy
21	treatment.
22	(2) Patient L.L. was treated by respondent Hartwig, PT, for three visits.
23	The remaining 13 visits, the patient was treated by respondent Cedros, PTA Respondent Hartwig
24	only co-signed every other visit or approximately seven visits. There was no plan for reassessment.
25	27. Respondent Hartwig=s treatment of L.L. constitutes excessive prescribing of
26	physical therapy and gross negligence in that he failed to perform appropriate re-evaluation of the
~~	patient.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1	
2	(Dishonest Billing) [Bus. & Prof. Code '' 810(a)(1) & (2), 2262, 2660(h) & (l)]
3	28. Complainant realleges paragraph 26 above as if fully set forth at this point.
4	29. Respondent Hartwig is subject to disciplinary action under sections 810(a)(1)
5	and (2), 2262, and 2660(h) and (l) based on the following facts:
6	(1) Since no properly supervised physical therapy treatments were
7	provided to patient L.L., approximately six visits were overbilled \$858.48.
8	30. Respondent Hartwig=s treatment of L.L. constitutes dishonest billing and
9	gross negligence in that he treated the patient without medical diagnosis, failed to perform
10	appropriate re-evaluation of the patient, failed to provide adequate and appropriate supervision of the
11	physical therapy assistant for nine months, and failed to refer the patient to another provider when
12	progress was not being made.
13	
14 15	ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Excessive Prescribing of Physical Therapy Treatments) [Bus. & Prof. Code '' 725 and 2660(k)]
16	31. Complainant realleges paragraph 26 above as if fully set forth at this point.
17	(1) Respondent Hartwig employed physical therapy assistants and aides in
18	the treatment of patient L.L. without adequate supervision in violation of section 2660(k), in that
19	respondent Kiefer aided and abetted a person to both engage in the unlawful treatment of physical
20	therapy and to engage in excessive prescribing of physical therapy.
21	<u>TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Gross Negligence)
22	[Bus. & Prof. Code ' 2660(h)]
23	32. Complainant realleges paragraph 26 above as if fully set forth at this point.
24	33. Respondent failed to produce and maintain adequate documentation of the
25	physical therapy treatments provided to patient L.L. in violation of section 2660 of the Code which
26	constitutes gross negligence.
~~	

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
alleged, and that following the hearing, the Physical Therapy Board issue a decision:
1. Revoking or suspending Physical Therapy License Number PT-22617, issued
to David A. Hartwig;
2. Ordering David A. Hartwig to pay the Physical Therapy Board the reasonable
costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
section 2661.5;
3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
DATED: September 9, 2003.
Original Signed By: STEVEN K. HARTZELL Executive Officer
Physical Therapy Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California Complainant
Complamant